UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/531,521 | 04/15/2005 | Kaoru Katayama | 20421/0202621-US0 | 1880 | |
| 7278 DARBY & DA | 7590 03/07/2007 | | EXAMINER | | |
| P. O. BOX 5257 | | | LUU, THANH X | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2878 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/07/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/531,521 | KATAYAMA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Thanh X. Luu | 2878 | | |

| Dororo tiro i ming or an Appour Brion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Thanh X. Luu | 2878 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | • | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$ | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | · | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8. | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | - C C A 1 211 | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| | | Thanh X Luu | | | | | |
| | | Primary Evaminer | | | | | |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2878 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment adds a new claim that requires further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, Applicant's remarks are not found to be persuasive. Thus, since the application is not in condition for allowance, this Advisory Action is proper.